

EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS COUR EUROPÉENNE DES DROITS DE L'HOMME

To The little little	Stiendly set		Right	Tac.			Ye.	1		Rie.		Ž		Right to	Firedon, of	330	\\ \text{\text{\text{\chi}}}		\	E.	000	/	/	Pig	THE DE	Othe	COT		PEAN CO
Todd Humber of Hidden	Chendy Schlenz	Out Aidation	Bight to High anout indentity	KOL CITY AND THE STATES	Prohibite investigation of like	Man of Stories	Lack of effect adding to	Condition investor	Alibridge of Lighton	SIX to I. Savery No.	Right ond so the fibrate	Length to a fait the	Your Of Brocked	O Philistry	Firedoli.	Chouse!	Erecdon, Conscient	Loom of week and talks	Right and con	Chr. to mary Cintion	Prohibition of the crime to	Protectic Aliscitudis	Right of profe	Right of chicalty	or to the elect	State Hied of De	COT A the Control of	OMN CHILIOIT	\
	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	2	2	3	3	3	3	4	5	6	6	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	P1-1	_	P1-3	P1-4	\Box
Albania	7	7											2		1	5							4		5				
Andorra	0																												
Armenia	8	8							2	1			1	5				1			1		2		2				
Austria	8	5	2		1								1	1	2			1					1						
Azerbaijan	19	19							1	4			7	2				2			2		1		3		6		2
Belgium	13	11	1	1					3	1	1		3	5									2						
Bosnia and Herzegovina	3	2	1										1			1									1				
Bulgaria	32	28	2		2		2	1	10	5			3	5		3		3	2	1			9		6		2		
Croatia	25	17	8				1		2	3			4	5				6							2				
Cyprus	6	5			1								8	1									1	1					
Czech Republic	4		2	2																									
Denmark	0																												
Estonia	5	3	2											2	1			1					1		1				
Finland	7	5	2												1					1								3	
France	27	17	10						2		3		2	6		1		1		3			1						
Georgia	4	2	1		1													1						2					
Germany	11	6	5										1	3				1		2			1						
Greece	47	43	4						12			1	5	5	20	1				1	2		24	1	1	1		1	
Hungary	44	42	2			1	1		6				3	1	21								12	2	9				
Iceland	1	1																		1									
Ireland	0																												
Italy	24	21	3					1	1	1			7	1		1	1	6					2		7				1
Latvia	7	6			1				1				4					2		1									
Liechtenstein	2	2												1	1														
Lithuania	14	9	2	1	2				1					1			1	1						1	4				

- 1. This table has been generated automatically, using the conclusions recorded in the metadata for each judgment contained in HUDOC, the Court's case-law database.
- 2. Other judgments: just satisfaction, revision, preliminary objections and lack of jurisdiction.
- 3. Cases in which the Court held there would be a violation of Article 3 if the applicant was removed to a State where he/she was at risk of ill-treatment.
- 4. Figures in this column may include conditional violations.

^{*} Four judgments are against more than one State: Belgium and the Netherlands; Republic of Moldova and Russian Federation; Russian Federation and Ukraine; and Slovenia and Austria.

- 1. This table has been generated automatically, using the conclusions recorded in the metadata for each judgment contained in HUDOC, the Court's case-law database.
- 2. Other judgments: just satisfaction, revision, preliminary objections and lack of jurisdiction.
- 3. Cases in which the Court held there would be a violation of Article 3 if the applicant was removed to a State where he/she was at risk of ill-treatment.
- 4. Figures in this column may include conditional violations.

^{*} Four judgments are against more than one State: Belgium and the Netherlands; Republic of Moldova and Russian Federation; Russian Federation and Ukraine; and Slovenia and Austria.